



Type D personality and cardiovascular function in daily life of people without documented cardiovascular disease

Ivan Nyklíček^{a,*}, Ad Vorselaars^b, Johan Denollet^a

^a CoRPS, Center of Research on Psychology in Somatic disease, Department of Medical Psychology, Tilburg University, PO Box 90153, 5000 LE Tilburg, Tilburg, The Netherlands

^b ArboUnie, Jan Asselbergseweg 58, 5026 RR Tilburg, Netherlands

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 29 November 2010
Received in revised form 18 February 2011
Accepted 21 February 2011
Available online 26 February 2011

Keywords:

Type D personality
Cardiovascular
Ambulatory
Heart rate variability
Blood pressure

ABSTRACT

Type D personality, the combination of negative affectivity and social inhibition, is associated with poor prognosis in patients with ischemic heart disease. The mechanisms are poorly understood. The aim of the present study was to examine whether Type D personality is associated with cardiovascular function in everyday life of people without documented cardiovascular disease. Eighty-six participants (53% women) aged 27 to 60 years who reported work-related non-cardiac health complaints were equipped with ambulatory cardiovascular monitors for 24 h measuring heart rate, respiratory sinus arrhythmia, pre-ejection period, and systolic and diastolic blood pressure. With and without controlling for age, sex, educational level, body mass index, physical activity, smoking and alcohol consumption, mood and social contact, Type D personality was not associated with any cardiovascular measure during the day or at night (all $F(1, 79) < 1.00, p > .10$). When analyzed separately as continuous variables, only the social inhibition component of Type D personality showed a tendency for an association with nighttime systolic blood pressure ($F(1, 78) = 3.65, p = .06, \eta^2 = .04$). In conclusion, Type D personality generally does not seem to be associated with unfavorable cardiovascular function in daily life of people without any documented cardiovascular disease.

© 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Type D personality is a combination of two personality characteristics: negative affectivity (NA) and social inhibition (SI) (Denollet, 2005; Denollet et al., 1996). NA refers to the tendency to experience a range of negative feelings and emotions across time and situations (Watson and Pennebaker, 1989), while SI reflects feeling uncomfortable and inhibited in social situations (Asendorpf, 1993). The combination of these two results in enhanced feelings of distress that are not easily shared with others (Denollet, 2005).

Type D personality has been associated with a poor prognosis in patients with cardiovascular disease, notably those people that have had a myocardial infarction (MI). For instance, post-MI patients with Type D personality have been found to have about a four-fold risk of rehospitalization and recurrent adverse events, such as another MI (Denollet and Brutsaert, 1998; Denollet et al., 2000), as well as an enhanced risk of cardiac death (Denollet et al., 1996; Pedersen et al., 2004). For a recent review on the adverse effects of Type D personality in cardiac patients, see Pedersen and Denollet (2006).

Despite the fact that the adverse effects of Type D in cardiac patients seem well-established, little is known about potential mechanisms that may explain this link. One possible mechanism may involve exaggerated cardiovascular activity in daily life, mediated by an enhanced sympathetic drive and decreased vagal control of the heart. There is evidence that such cardiovascular hyperactivity or prolonged activation during laboratory stressful tasks and during daily life is associated with enhanced risk of hypertension and coronary artery disease (Light et al., 1999; Menkes et al., 1989; Treiber et al., 2003).

However, there is a paucity of data on this potential mechanism in Type D personality. Research in still healthy individuals is needed to avoid potential confound of an already present disease state. Very few studies have examined this issue in the laboratory, while none have been published regarding cardiovascular activity in daily life. In a stressful laboratory protocol involving a mental arithmetic with harassment, students with a Type D personality did not differ from students without Type D regarding the cardiovascular measures assessed (heart rate and systolic and diastolic blood pressure [SBP and DBP]) (Habra et al., 2003). Mental arithmetic administered to students was also used in a more recent study, which included additional more elaborate cardiovascular measures, such as cardiac output and peripheral resistance (Williams et al., 2009). Only in men, Type D personality was related to enhanced cardiac output response to the task, but not heart rate, blood pressure, or peripheral resistance. Most recently, only in a subgroup of white American students during one of three laboratory conditions (stressful imagery), lower vagal versus

* Corresponding author at: CoRPS, Department of Medical Psychology, Tilburg University, PO Box 90153, 5000 LE Tilburg, The Netherlands. Tel.: +31 13 4662391; fax: +31 13 4662167.

E-mail addresses: i.nyklíček@uvt.nl (I. Nyklíček), ad.vorselaars@arbounie.nl (A. Vorselaars), j.denollet@uvt.nl (J. Denollet).

sympathetic heart rate variability was found in Type D versus non Type D individuals (Martin et al., 2010). Of note, the studies to date were based on young student samples, limiting their generalizability to the general population.

As no studies have been performed on the link between Type D personality and cardiovascular function in daily life of individuals without any documented cardiovascular disease, this was the focus of the present study. In addition, we extended previous laboratory findings by the inclusion of various parameters of cardiovascular function reflecting the relative sympathetic and vagal influences on the heart. Finally, we extended previous findings to a population older than the student samples used in previous studies.

We hypothesized that Type D personality and its components NA and SI would be associated with enhanced sympathetic activity, decreased vagal tone, and elevated blood pressure during daily life.

2. Method

2.1. Participants

Participants were recruited among employees of several companies in Southern Netherlands who visited their occupational physician with various kinds of work-related problems at ArboUnie in Tilburg, an institute specialized in helping employees with all kinds of work-related health problems. Diagnosis was made according to the classification system of the Dutch Association of Occupational Medicine (*Nederlandse Vereniging voor Arbeids en Bedrijfsgeneeskunde, 2000*), in which categories are based on DSM-IV criteria, by means of a structured interview performed by the occupational physician. Inclusion criteria were age between 18 and 60 years old and in good mastery of the Dutch language. Exclusion criteria were self-reported hypertension, use of any cardiovascular medication, a history of cardiovascular disease or other life-threatening disease and psychopathology (e.g., major depression).

Of the consecutive clients who met the inclusion and exclusion criteria and were invited to participate ($N = 152$), 86 (56.6%) agreed to participate. Of these potential participants, 74% had stress-related psychological complaints diagnosed as V-codes (overstrain and burnout), or adjustment disorder, while a minority (44%) had physical (non cardiovascular) problems. All potential participants were screened for Type D personality using the DS-14 scale (Denollet, 2005), see below. Based on the available participants, two groups were formed, one group complying with criteria for Type D personality and one group not complying with these criteria. The groups would be matched on gender, age, education level and Body Mass Index (BMI). However, because the groups did not differ on these variables, no participants were excluded from analyses. This resulted in the participation of 40 men and 46 women between the ages of 27 and 60 years ($M = 46$, $SD = 8.24$ years), all white, of which 44 persons were classified as Type D and 42 persons did not have Type D personality. All participants received €20 for participation in the study. The study was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of the St. Elisabeth Hospital in Tilburg, the Netherlands, and was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

2.2. Instruments

Type D personality was measured using the Type D Scale-14 (DS-14) (Denollet, 2005), which is a brief self-report measure comprising a seven-item NA and a seven-item SI scale. These scales are internally consistent ($\alpha = .88$ and $.86$, respectively), show a good test–retest reliability as well as validity, reflected by substantial correlations with related constructs and low with unrelated ones (Denollet, 2005). According to published guidelines, Type D personality was determined as a score ≥ 10 on both dimensions (NA and SI) (Denollet, 2005).

For the measurement of cardiovascular function, two devices were used, namely the Ambulatory Blood Pressure Monitor (90207; SpaceLabs Medical), and the VU-Ambulatory Monitoring System, Version 4.6

(VU-AMS) (de Geus et al., 1995). The SpaceLabs measures the participant's systolic and diastolic blood pressure (SBP and DBP) using a standard occlusion arm cuff placed on the nondominant upper arm based by the oscillometric method. By means of six pregelled disposable electrodes (Midi-Trace 133) attached at the upper body according to the standard system (de Geus et al., 1995), the VU-AMS device records the electrocardiogram (ECG), impedance cardiogram (ICG), and respiration for measures of heart period, pre-ejection period (PEP), and vagally mediated HRV (respiratory sinus arrhythmia [RSA] based on the difference between the longest heart period during expiration and the shortest heart period during inspiration). In addition, the VU-AMS device assesses movement (vertical acceleration), which data are used to exclude periods with movement artifacts.

A brief diary questionnaire of 23 items was used to measure momentary context. This questionnaire was based on diary questionnaires previously used in ambulatory cardiovascular research (Kamarck et al., 1998; Shapiro et al., 1993) and involves individual items that are answered on 2- to 5-point scales. The following variables were assessed: momentary posture (sitting, standing, and lying) and mood (10 items: elated, alert, tired, blue, frustrated, irritated, distressed, tense, good, and relaxed; answered on Likert scales ranging from 1 'not at all' to 5 'very much'), and physical activity ('none', 'a little', 'some', and 'quite some'), social contact ('none', 'briefly been talking', and 'been talking'), and consumption (coffee, alcohol, meal; all 'yes/no') just preceding the measurement.

2.3. Procedure

Electrodes were attached and the blood pressure cuff was placed on the nondominant upper arm. Electrodes and blood pressure cuff could be worn under the participant's clothing, and the two small light-weighted portable monitors were attached to the participant's belt.

Blood pressure was measured every 40 min between 8 AM and 11 PM and every 120 min during the night. Participants were requested to refrain from movement when they felt that the cuff started to inflate, relax their arm, and wait until the cuff was deflated again. The blood pressure screen was set not to display the actual pressure to the participant. After deflation during the day, participants would answer the diary questions. A 7-minute period around each blood pressure measurement (which continued during the night with 40-minute intervals) beat-to-beat cardiorespiratory measurements were performed for the assessment of the cardiac cycle intervals and RSA.

2.4. Data processing and statistical analysis

Periods showing physical exercise during or just prior to the measurements on the accelerometer of the VU-AMS or in self-reports were excluded from analysis. ICG derived measures were based on 60-second ensemble averages of the 7-minute beat-to-beat periods, as described earlier (Riese et al., 2003). These ensemble averages were manually screened for artifacts and automatic placement of the time intervals by the software program. Out of the 1587 averages 11.0% were omitted because of a poor signal. Of the cardiorespiratory signal, 19.5% of the cardiac cycles were considered unreliable during visual scoring, because of problems such as too shallow signal (especially during abdominal breathing at night). If heart period was longer during inspiration than during expiration (in 15.8% of the cardiac cycles), RSA was set to zero (Riese et al., 2003). For daytime values, diary scores were missing in 6.5% of the measurements. The cardiorespiratory values for these measurements were left out from the analyses, because no values were available for bodily position and other relevant variables. Only those obtained during sitting were used (there were too few valid values for the standing and lying positions). One participant reported

not to have slept at all during the night and was excluded from the analyses.

Repeated measures analyses of covariance (ANCOVA) were used to test the hypotheses. In the analyses including Type D personality as predictor, the pooled day and night values of each cardiovascular parameter were the dependent variables with Type D personality and sex being the independent factors, and age, BMI, and those additional variables that showed an at least marginal association ($p < .10$) with the dependent variable being introduced as covariates. Because of a previous finding of a moderating influence of sex on the cardiovascular correlates of Type D personality (Williams et al., 2009), the sex by Type D interaction effect was included in the model. The analyses including the NA and SI dimensions as predictors were identical to the analysis described above with the exception that NA and SI were entered as continuous variables instead of Type D personality as a nominal factor.

3. Results

3.1. Sociodemographic characteristics and daily behavior

Participants with and without Type D personality did not differ on age, sex, level of education or body mass index (all $p > .10$; Table 1).

The average number of valid daily sitting periods that were used for analysis was 10.84 (SD = 3.56, range between 4 and 19). Type D participants did not differ from non Type D's on the number of valid sitting periods ($p = 0.57$) or on any of the potentially confounding variables, except higher levels of experienced distress ($t(84) = 2.69, p = .009$) and lower levels of experienced relaxation during the day ($t(84) = 2.04, p = .045$; Table 1).

3.2. Cardiovascular function

Repeated measures ANCOVAs revealed a significant main effect of Time for all cardiovascular measures. As expected, compared with daytime, during the night, heart rate (HR) was lower ($F(1, 84) = 96.50$,

$p < .001, \eta^2 = .54$), PEP was longer ($F(1, 84) = 99.17, p < .001, \eta^2 = .54$), RSA was larger ($F(1, 84) = 14.38, p = .001, \eta^2 = .15$), SBP was lower ($F(1, 84) = 94.04, p < .001, \eta^2 = .53$) and DBP was lower ($F(1, 84) = 140.25, p < .001, \eta^2 = .63$) (Table 2).

Of the covariates, age was significantly negatively associated with RSA ($F(1, 80) = 14.21, p < .001, \eta^2 = .15$; unstandardized Beta coefficient = $-.69$ during the day and $-.76$ at night), and positively with SBP ($F(1, 80) = 7.24, p = .009, \eta^2 = .08$, Beta = $.55$ during the day and $.34$ at night), and DBP ($F(1, 80) = 5.52, p = .02, \eta^2 = .07$, Beta = $.40$ during the day and $.37$ at night). Sex was also related to RSA ($F(1, 80) = 9.62, p = .003, \eta^2 = .11$), SBP ($F(1, 80) = 14.70, p < .001, \eta^2 = .16$) and DBP ($F(1, 80) = 9.66, p = .003, \eta^2 = .11$). Men exhibited lower RSA values compared to women ($M = 36.14, SD = 15.08$ for men and $M = 45.99, SD = 14.20$ for women, respectively) and had higher SBP/DBP than women ($M = 125.87/87.93, SD = 13.26/13.00$ for men and $M = 115.36/79.49, SD = 12.21/12.08$ for women). BMI was positively associated with SBP ($F(1, 80) = 4.10, p = .046, \eta^2 = .05$, Beta = $.56$ during the day and $.87$ at night), DBP ($F(1, 80) = 4.41, p = .04, \eta^2 = .05$, Beta = $.71$ during the day and $.77$ at night) and only marginally with HR, but only during the night $F(1, 80) = 3.04, p = .09, \eta^2 = .04$, Beta = $.50$ at night). Education was not related to any of the cardiovascular parameters. Of the other potentially confounding variables, only momentary coffee consumption showed an association with higher HR ($F(1, 75) = 3.97, p = .05, \eta^2 = .05$), while feeling relaxed was associated with a higher RSA ($F(1, 75) = 4.59, p = .04, \eta^2 = .06$). These variables were included in the analyses on Type D personality.

Individuals with a Type D personality did not differ from their non Type D counterparts on any of the cardiovascular parameters, either as main effect or in interaction with Time (day or night) ($F(1, 79) < 1.00, p > .10$; Table 2) or with Sex ($F(1, 79) < 2.61, p > .10$). When analyses were performed including the separate NA and SI dimensions as continuous variables instead of Type D personality, again, no effects were obtained regarding main effects of NA and SI ($F(1, 78) < 2.61, p > .10$), or in interaction with Time (day or night) ($F(1, 78) < 1.0, p > .10$) or with Sex ($F(1, 78) < 2.36, p > .10$), except for a nonsignificant tendency for SI to be related with higher SBP ($F(1, 78) = 3.65, p = .06, \eta^2 = .04$; unstandardized Beta coefficient = $.48, p = .12$, during the day and $.58, p = .06$, at night).

Table 1

Sample characteristics and daily behavior just preceding measurement during sitting episodes: means (and SD) or numbers (and percentages).

	Type D N = 44	Non Type D N = 42	t or χ^2
Age	45.45 (7.98)	45.60 (8.59)	0.48
Female sex	23 (52.3%)	23 (54.8%)	0.05
High education	25 (56.8%)	17 (40.5%)	1.69
Body Mass Index	24.70 (3.53)	25.44 (4.22)	0.89
Physical activity	0.48 (0.35)	0.42 (0.28)	0.65
Number of valid sitting periods	10.64 (4.01)	11.11 (3.09)	0.57
Social contact	0.93 (0.64)	1.01 (0.39)	0.53
Coffee	0.19 (0.21)	0.29 (0.27)	1.45
Alcohol	0.03 (0.06)	0.02 (0.04)	0.90
Smoking	0.18 (0.31)	0.16 (0.31)	0.18
Daily distress	1.43 (0.49)	1.19 (0.34)	2.69**
Daily relaxation	3.29 (0.86)	3.67 (0.89)	2.04*

High education = at least high school/A-levels, professional education or college; daily behavior values are means based on an average of 10.84 (SD = 3.56) periods per participant; physical activity (0 = 'none', 1 = 'a little', 2 = 'some', 3 = 'quite some'), social contact (0 = 'none', 1 = 'briefly been talking', 2 = 'been talking'), consumption of coffee, alcohol, and smoking (0 = 'no', 1 = 'yes'); * $p < .05$; ** $p < .01$.

4. Discussion

The main aim of the present investigation was to examine the association between Type D personality and 24-hour cardiovascular function in daily life in participants without any documented cardiovascular disease. No association was obtained for heart period, blood pressure, or the parameters of sympathetic and parasympathetic control of the heart (pre-ejection period and respiratory sinus arrhythmia, respectively).

This is the first study that reports on ambulatory cardiovascular function of Type D and non Type D individuals without cardiovascular disease. Regarding the few laboratory studies conducted, our results are in line with previous research (Habra et al., 2003; Williams et al., 2009),

Table 2

Estimated marginal means (and SD) of the ambulatory measures for Type D and non-Type D participants.

	Night		Day	
	Type D	Non-Type D	Type D	Non-Type D
HR	62.84 (9.70)	61.12 (10.03)	70.77 (9.83)	69.13 (10.10)
PEP	106.94 (16.96)	110.52 (17.42)	92.50 (17.09)	94.22 (17.56)
RSA	43.82 (17.69)	45.59 (18.22)	35.65 (17.09)	39.08 (17.62)
SBP	114.69 (13.86)	114.41 (14.26)	126.06 (13.66)	127.30 (14.06)
DBP	78.76 (12.94)	78.07 (13.33)	88.74 (13.13)	89.28 (13.53)

HP = heart rate; PEP = pre-ejection period; RSA = respiratory sinus arrhythmia; SBP = systolic blood pressure; DBP = diastolic blood pressure; none of the differences between groups was significant ($p > .10$).

which did not find differences between healthy Type D and non Type D participants on heart rate and blood pressure reactivity to a stressful task. Only with one of the Type D components, social inhibition, an association was found with elevated blood pressure reactivity to the task (Habra et al., 2003). We found a tendency for an association between social inhibition and higher systolic blood pressure at night. Although this finding resembles Habra et al.'s results despite the differences between studies regarding design and populations used, in light of the multiple tests performed our nonsignificant tendency may also have been due to chance. Alternatively, perhaps an association between social inhibition and blood pressure becomes evident mainly in a stressful situation such as a laboratory stress protocol.

Also another study (Martin et al., 2010) did not find differences between Type D and non Type D participants in their laboratory protocol, except in the condition of a stressful imagery task, during which white American students with Type D personality showed lower high frequency-heart rate variability compared to their non Type D counterparts. However, these results might have been biased by outliers in the Type D group (this group showed a disproportionately large standard deviation) during this particular task. Of note, our results are difficult to compare with the outcomes of these studies because of the differences in samples (distressed individuals from the general population versus healthy students) and contexts (daily life versus laboratory).

The present results indicate that, in general, cardiovascular function during daily life in individuals without a cardiovascular disease but having a Type D personality is not different from that seen in non Type D persons. Together with previous results found in the laboratory and discussed above, there is little evidence for cardiovascular autonomic nervous system abnormalities in still healthy individuals.

Mechanisms other than those reflecting cardiovascular autonomic nervous system activity may be more important in the putative association between Type D personality and cardiovascular risk in still healthy individuals. These may involve direct physiological factors such as endothelial function, platelet function, altered lipid profile, altered activity of the hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal cortex (HPA) axis, and enhanced inflammatory activity of the immune system (Broadley et al., 2006; Carney et al., 2002; Parissis et al., 2007; Pedersen and Denollet, 2006) or indirect behavioral factors involving health facilitating and health compromising behaviors (Pedersen and Denollet, 2006). Regarding the former, some recent evidence suggests that Type D personality is associated with enhanced cortisol responsiveness to laboratory stress (Habra et al., 2003) and with an enhanced pro-inflammatory profile in patients with chronic heart failure (Denollet et al., 2008). However, only the study on cortisol has been conducted in a healthy sample.

At present, one cannot exclude the possibility that Type D personality is associated with poor outcomes only in patients already having established cardiovascular disease. Prospective studies following still healthy participants over time are needed to answer the questions whether Type D personality is associated with cardiovascular risk in healthy individuals and which potential mechanisms may be involved.

Limitations of the present study include the relatively small sample size, potentially low generalizability of the results due to the nature of the sample (mostly people having stress-related complaints), and the correlational design, which does not permit any conclusions regarding the causality. In addition, although people with established cardiovascular disorders have been excluded from the study, the possibility still exists that in this age group some participants had undiagnosed and asymptomatic cardiovascular conditions. Finally, although only a small portion of diary data were missing, we cannot exclude the possibility that these involved episodes systematically differing from the other episodes (e.g., being perhaps more stressful), which potentially may have restricted the range of obtained diary and cardiovascular values, resulting in attenuated associations.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Joyce Kokx and Roel Plevier for data collection and data preparation for this project.

References

- Asendorpf, J.B., 1993. Social inhibition: a general-developmental perspective. In: Traue, H.C., Pennebaker, J.W. (Eds.), *Emotion, Inhibition, and Health*. Hogrefe & Huber, Seattle, WA, pp. 80–99.
- Broadley, A.J., Korszun, A., Abdelaal, E., Moskvina, V., Deanfield, J., Jones, C.J., et al., 2006. Metyrapone improves endothelial dysfunction in patients with treated depression. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 48, 170–175.
- Carney, R.M., Freedland, K.E., Miller, G.E., Jaffe, A.S., 2002. Depression as a risk factor for cardiac mortality and morbidity: a review of potential mechanisms. *J. Psychosom. Res.* 53, 897–902.
- de Geus, E.J., Willemsen, G.H., Klaver, C.H., van Doornen, L.J., 1995. Ambulatory measurement of respiratory sinus arrhythmia and respiration rate. *Biol. Psychol.* 41, 205–227.
- Denollet, J., 2005. DS14: standard assessment of negative affectivity, social inhibition, and Type D personality. *Psychosom. Med.* 67, 89–97.
- Denollet, J., Brutsaert, D.L., 1998. Personality, disease severity, and the risk of long-term cardiac events in patients with a decreased ejection fraction after myocardial infarction. *Circulation* 97, 167–173.
- Denollet, J., Sys, S.U., Stroobant, N., Rombouts, H., Gillebert, T.C., Brutsaert, D.L., 1996. Personality as independent predictor of long-term mortality in patients with coronary heart disease. *Lancet* 347, 417–421.
- Denollet, J., Vaes, J., Brutsaert, D.L., 2000. Inadequate response to treatment in coronary heart disease: adverse effects of type D personality and younger age on 5-year prognosis and quality of life. *Circulation* 102, 630–635.
- Denollet, J., Vrints, C.J., Conraads, V.M., 2008. Comparing Type D personality and older age as correlates of tumor necrosis factor- α dysregulation in chronic heart failure. *Brain Behav. Immun.* 22, 736–743.
- Habra, M.E., Linden, W., Anderson, J.C., Weinberg, J., 2003. Type D personality is related to cardiovascular and neuroendocrine reactivity to acute stress. *J. Psychosom. Res.* 55, 235–245.
- Kamarck, T.W., Shiffman, S.M., Smithline, L., Goodie, J.L., Thompson, H.S., Ituarte, P.H., 1998. The diary of ambulatory behavioral states: a new approach to the assessment of psychological influences on ambulatory cardiovascular activity. In: Krantz, D.S., Baum, A. (Eds.), *Technology and Methods in Behavioral Medicine*. Lawrence Erlbaum, Mahwah, NJ, pp. 163–193.
- Light, K.C., Girdler, S.S., Sherwood, A., Bragdon, E.E., Brownley, K.A., West, S.G., et al., 1999. High stress reactivity predicts later blood pressure only in combination with positive family history and high life stress. *Hypertension* 33, 1458–1464.
- Martin, L.A., Doster, J.A., Critelli, J.W., Lambert, P.L., Purdum, M., Powers, C., et al., 2010. Ethnicity and Type D personality as predictors of heart rate variability. *Int. J. Psychophysiol.* 76, 118–121.
- Menkes, M.S., Matthews, K.A., Krantz, D.S., Lundberg, U., Mead, L.A., Qaqish, B., et al., 1989. Cardiovascular reactivity to the cold pressor test as a predictor of hypertension. *Hypertension* 14, 524–530.
- Nederlandse Vereniging voor Arbeids en Bedrijfsgezondheid [Dutch Association of Occupational Medicine], 2000. *Handelen van de bedrijfsarts bij werknemers met psychische klachten* [Directions for the occupational physician regarding employees with psychological symptoms]. NVAB, Eindhoven, Netherlands.
- Parissis, J.T., Fountoulaki, K., Filippatos, G., Adamopoulos, S., Paraskevaidis, I., Kremastinos, D., 2007. Depression in coronary artery disease: novel pathophysiologic mechanisms and therapeutic implications. *Int. J. Cardiol.* 116, 153–160.
- Pedersen, S.S., Denollet, J., 2006. Is Type D personality here to stay? Emerging evidence across cardiovascular disease patient groups. *Curr. Cardiol. Rev.* 2, 205–213.
- Pedersen, S.S., Lemos, P.A., van Vooren, P.R., Liu, T.K., Daemen, J., Erdman, R.A., et al., 2004. Type D personality predicts death or myocardial infarction after bare metal stent or sirolimus-eluting stent implantation: a Rapamycin-Eluting Stent Evaluated at Rotterdam Cardiology Hospital (RESEARCH) registry substudy. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 44, 997–1001.
- Riese, H., Groot, P.F., van den Berg, M., Kupper, N.H., Magnee, E.H., Rohaan, E.J., et al., 2003. Large-scale ensemble averaging of ambulatory impedance cardiograms. *Behav. Res. Methods Instrum. Comput.* 35, 467–477.
- Shapiro, D., Jamner, L.D., Goldstein, I.B., 1993. Ambulatory stress psychophysiology: the study of “compensatory and defensive counterforces” and conflict in a natural setting. *Psychosom. Med.* 55, 309–323.
- Treiber, F.A., Kamarck, T., Schneiderman, N., Sheffield, D., Kapuku, G., Taylor, T., 2003. Cardiovascular reactivity and development of preclinical and clinical disease states. *Psychosom. Med.* 65, 46–62.
- Watson, D., Pennebaker, J.W., 1989. Health complaints, stress, and distress: exploring the central role of negative affectivity. *Psychol. Rev.* 96, 234–254.
- Williams, L., O’Carroll, R.E., O’Connor, R.C., 2009. Type D personality and cardiac output in response to stress. *Psychol. Health* 24, 489–500.